

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,471	04/29/2005	Toshio Yamagiwa	SIP-138-A	9423	
21828 7590 12/26/2008 CARRIER BLACKMAN AND ASSOCIATES 24101 NOVI ROAD			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			LAI, ANNE VIET NGA		
SUITE 100 NOVI, MI 483	75		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2612		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

cbalaw@gmail.com cbalaw@ameritech.net wblackman@ameritech.net

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/533 471 YAMAGIWA, TOSHIO Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ANNE V. LAI 2612 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 December 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.3.5-16.20 and 21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 3, 5-16, 20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/533,471

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 3, 5-16, 20-21 are currently pending in this case.

Claim Objections

 Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 3 depend a canceled claim 2. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 1, 3, 5-16, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamai [US 7,031,946] (previously provided) in view of Vock et al [US 2003/0163287] or Didomenico et al [US 7,164,132].

In claim 1, **Tamai** discloses an IC tag equipped motorcycle comprising:

an element formed of a resin material having transmissivity to electromagnetic
waves; and

an IC tag integrated with the element including an ID code registered therein; the IC tag comprises elements as claimed (figs. 15-16; Construction of Radio IC tag, col. 17, col. 22; motorcycle, col. 34, I. 2).

Tamai does not specify location of the IC tag on the motorcycle. **Vock et al** teach an IC tag can be attached to any object, for example a motorcycle, and at any location of choice on the motorcycle. The IC tag of Vock et al is housed in a case of a meter unit

Application/Control Number: 10/533,471

Art Unit: 2612

(a Movement Monitoring device MMD and an Event Monitoring device EMD include RFID tags and accelerometer or other sensors).

See **Vock et al**, Abstract and Summary of the invention; an MMD containing an IC tag is placed behind the bicycle seat in fig. 1, an MMD placed on the bicycle frame in fig. 41; the Monitoring device housing is made from injection molded urethane plastic, paragraphs 272-279.

Didomenico et al teaches a transponder tag can be affixed to a vehicle at various locations of choice, on the dashboard or integrated within a vehicle part, col. 9, 1. 8-24.

Based on the teaching of Vock et al and Didomenico et al, an ordinary skill in the art could place the IC tag at any place on the vehicle as preferred for best communication or measuring function.

In claim 3, Didomenico et al teaches a transponder tag can be affixed to a vehicle at various locations of choice, on the dashboard or integrated within a vehicle part, col. 9, I. 8-24. It would have been obvious sticking an IC tag on a back surface of a meter panel could be one of a choice for protecting the tag from being damage.

In claim 5, Tamai discloses the tag is molded in resin.

In claim 6, Vock et al teaches the IC tag is embedded in a plastic case of a monitoring device.

In claims 7-15, Tamai discloses memory unit 216 has an unprotected unit (rewritable) 301 and a protected unit 302. The unprotected unit comprising areas for storing activities regarding manufacturing stage, distribution stage, sale stage, service

Application/Control Number: 10/533,471

Art Unit: 2612

stage, collection/recycling stage (col. 17, I. 50 col. 18, I. 46, col. 33, I. 19-col. 36, I. 18), therefore the claimed invention would have been obvious.

In claims 20-21, Tamai combined of claim 1 above discloses a management system using an IC tag equipped motorcycle comprising:

a host server 60, a terminal 30a-30e (mobile phone, portable terminal, management device) communicates with each other via a network (Internet 30), a database 61;

the terminal comprising devices for wireless reading the motorcycle ID, transmitting the ID and authorized access ID to the host server, receiving and updating information from and to the host server;

the host server comprising devices for verifying authorized access ID, searching database to extract selected tag information, transmitting information to the terminal, receiving tag information and updating tag information to the database;

the IC tag is integrated with an element formed of a resin material that could disposed behind a seat of the motorcycle,

the IC tag comprising a CPU, an antenna, a controller, a modem, a memory including write protected areas and rewritable areas.

See Tamai figures 4-5 and related specifications.

See also Vock et al. Summary of the invention; figures 1, 1A, 21 and 41.

 Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamai combined of claim 1 in view of Takashima [US 6,352,045] (previously provided). Application/Control Number: 10/533,471 Page 5

Art Unit: 2612

In claim 16, Tamai does not specify the claimed element disposed near a steering handle of the motorcycle. Didomenico et al teaches a transponder tag can be affixed to a vehicle at any location of choice col. 9, I. 8-24. Vock et al teaches a smart sensor in the form of adhesive bandage to stick to objects of people of choice (abstract). Takashima teaches a transponder tag 58 embedded in a resin material and engaged in a mounting portion 64 near the handlebar of a watercraft motorcycle (col. 4, I. 7-65). It would have been obvious an IC tag could be attached to an object at any place of choice for best communication and protection of the tag.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 5-16, 20-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).
- 8. In response to applicant argument regarding the reference of Takashima. The applicant's claim 1 limitation is disposing an IC tag near a steering handle, that limitation is taught by Takashima. See above.

Art Unit: 2612

 In response to applicant argument regarding the reference of Tamai. The use of a password to logon on to a host server is well known to an ordinary skill in the art for data security protection (Tamai input password, col. 4, I. 58-62).

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Vock et al., figs. 1A and 5. [US 6,959, 259]

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANNE V. LAI whose telephone number is (571)272-2974. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 am to 6:30 pm, Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wu Daniel can be reached on 571-272-2964,or primary examiner George Bugg at 571-272-2998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,471 Page 7

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/AVL/

/George A Bugg/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2612